## CARTOONING <br> 

The interior of the two-storey house appears to be under construction, with half-built walls and newly installed windows that let in There's the ubiquitous presence of the artist's sculptures, most completed others in progress. The house is heated by a wood stove in the kitchen where Van Sewell burns the remnants of dismantled interior walls. His studio/home is, as he points out, a cross between "Popeye's film and Pee-Wee Herman's funhouse."
On the roof he has raised a large plywood cut-out of a dog, a memorial to his longtime friend, Steven, a big black hound who died last October after a 14 -year friendship. There are also large rubber insects nailed to the wall facing the stree and ribo broken planned for the fiuture a "sculpture garden" in which, among other things, he will erect the spaceship he has built from an old wringer-washer.
"It's very important to have your work spill over into your life," Van Sewell says, "so that your work is your life and you don't distinguish between the two. The room I call my studio extends out there. I look at this house as being a big object of art, an ongoing project, an evolutionary art piece."
In short form this could be the central theme of Van Sewell's ceramic art: the dissolving of boundaries. The theme permeates humanized robots, but his life as well. Perhaps this is in part due to his background Born into a fourth-generation rail road family, Van Sewell spent his childhood in "all the prairie towns from here to there." Movement was the essence of a childhood built around the railroad and its promise of impermanence. The railroad was a complicated symbol; it represented changeability but it also dissolved boundaries.


Van Sewell may well have stayed a railroader, bound by his love of tradition, except for his unhappiness with the changes he encountered. "There aren't even cabooses anymore. A conductor is just a glorified timekeeper, and the engineer just a machine operator. There are no longer any railroad families. It became just a job. But while the railroad altered, his love for it remained, along with an intense awareness of the process of change. It was only in the past few years that Van Sewell began to create trains out of clay. Having achieved some distance ture "the same kind of spirit I felt when I was a 17 yer-old kid riding freight tains in Saskatchewan." He describes the experience of motion and the sight of a train moving across the prairie as awe-inspiring. "For me," he said, "the connection with the railway was a spiritual thing." Even now, Van Sewall will cross over to the nearby tracks (he can see both the CN and CP main lines from his house) and hop a freight for a ride.
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When he left the railroad behind there was no question in his mind about what he would do instead. He had already been making clay sculptures in his spare time, high school. His first pieces in Grade 12 were "a rabbit with a waistcoat Grade 12 and some little gnome." He discovered that he could create his own miniature world fantasy reflection of what he knew and saw He calls it cartooning in clay. He sees his work as parallel to the Royal Doulton fig urines his mother collected. "I began to feel like I was documenting my contemporary situation as much as Royal Doulton was

## THE NEWTS BECAME

METAPHORS FOR MAN'S DARKER SIDE.
documenting some alien world. But instead of having somebody doing a minuet, I'd have some wild-looking troll driving an old pick-up truck. I think it's important for people to make icons that reflect the time and place and situation that they live in."
Van Sewell's sense of humour runs throughout his work. He began with "old
pick-up trucks and Saskatchewan rural pick-up trucks and Saskatchewan rural characters, the Newts. These lizards were drawn in part from his childhood in Minnedosa, where he used to observe the annual spring coming-out of salamanders. "When the frost left these things would all stand on the edge of the highway and they'd make a dart for the other side. You'd wait on the side of the road and you'd see one and you'd see a semi and you'd have to wait till the semi was gone and after it was gone, the newt was flattened on the road." When he incorporated them into his work they had become metaphors for "man's darker side, what humans can sink to, what
they can become". they can become."
rative begeinnings his conceals even in its figurative beginnings his concern with the idea of change: one form aspiring to the condi-
tion of another. Newts and fish might indicate lower forms but at the same time they can reflect the belief that humanity is the ideal to which all else aspires. We see these creatures doing things that people do-driving cars and trucks, sitting in cafés, relaxing in armchairs-as if they were the most natural activities in the world.
Even the machines in Van Sewell's work seem to be striving to escape their condition. His cars look softly rounded, bending out of shape as if capable of a kind of motion that would defy their structural limitations. Fis robots are machines that have beartefacts mimic the behaviour of their mak ers. Again, they drive cars, lounge on beds,

sit in bars or at the breakfast table. But this dissolving of boundaries between human and reptile and between human and machine goes both ways. The immediate humour arises from seeing the non-human mimicking our behaviour and perhaps making us look at it with fresh eyes. But beneath this there is another, slightly darker level: the pull of the animal and the machine away from the human. It is not surprising that Van Sewell found himself drawn to Gregor Samsa of Kafka's Metamorphosis, this century's primary symbol of disturbing formation.

I was moving from British Columbia back here in 1981 and I was packing all my possessions into these banana crates and in one of these banana crates was
his giant bug. It was about the size of your fist and it was all squashed beween the wrapper and the box and I hought it was dead, so I put it on the table. I'm reading Metamorphosis and ll of a sudden this bug starts to move and all this shit that had been squashed out of it, it kind of cleaned itself off and it kind of looked up. So then I started reeding it sugared water and put it in one of the houseplants that I was moving. I did some drawings from this bug and ended up doing a wall piece. I guess because of my personal involvement with the book and the bug and I I don't know what happened to the bug. by the time I got here and unacked all the plants and everything he was gone.

Given this constant dissolving of bound ries between the human and the non-human, is it possible to locate at least some thernan stability in Van Sewell's human figures? They seem to exist in a self-contained world, perhaps a little bemused, their features peaking of a belief in self which seems both innocent and endearing. But if you look cosely, you realize that their expressions are ceally little different from those on the faces of the newts. Even in his self-portraits-the rtist perched on top of a globe, the Fool oet standing with his gleaming bicycle-the figures are wide-eyed, ready to observe, open to experience, and yet somehow blithely unaware of what might be in alle. I think of the character in the piece mains of his meal barcly heaingt he re an wolves just below him


Van Sewell creates larger-than-life, benign-looking characters, but when you look at them you realize they're not all there, as if they've just had lobotomies. They exist in a world where there are no heroes but in which people want-even he depicts what happens when a would be superhero fails at his job. "One day you might think that you're on top of the world, the next you're rifling through the dumpster just to scrape by."
What separates the failures from those who are still carrying on is not a difference in potential, but rather a difference of belief in that potential. Van Sewell dates his own realization that "you really can do anything" to the time when he was 18 , working on a snowplough train which got stuck in a huge snowdrift 20 miles outside North Battleford, Saskatchewan. He and the engineer managed single-handedly to dig out the train and get it running again. "You just believe in what you're doing and believe that you can take care of yourself. It's the hands and you've got a bag of clay your whatever you can juggle out of that bag is going to account for whether your bills are paid at the end of the month or not"
Van Sewell absorbs his surrounding transforms them and then reabsorbs that changed environment. It's an ongoing process without apparent limits. The changes his work has undergone have been gradual and subtle. It is not simply a matter of increasing technical skills. The process of growth is as organic as the interaction of hands with clay, a perpetual give and take, modelling and remodelling, an instinctive understanding between artist and medium. And if, as he says, the work he is doing now no longer has the early pieces possessed he has developed a greater complexity, a deeper humour.

One work in progress, a piece called Details at Eleven, indicates that his blithe rusting, confident characters might be awakening to the flux in which they exist This piece, one of a series, is a large-scale TV our ultamate symbol of containment, all world widin a glass tube trapped, inside world of network news is erupting in all directions: a figure thrusts himself urgently out of the screen as flames burst throug the sides of the box; an industrial smoke stack belches poisonous smoke from the top; and skulls litter the surface. In this piece, the mutability of boundaries is per ceived as a breakdown of structured certainties and the position of the human figure is exposed as very precarious indeed.
As for the artist himself, he seems to feel no uncertainty beyond the need to meet his monthly bills. Since those two pieces in Grade 12, Van Sewell has been on "a crazy wild, twisting road" and, in his estimation, it seems pretty good." Having made the do to his oing what he likes to doChat he likes to do
Characteristically, his summary of his ca reer to date fluctuates from catastrophe to 40 and say 'Oh my god, I made a horrible mistake,' or you might wake up and feel pretty good about yourself and where you are and what you've done. I don't know because I haven't reached 40 yet." And then, philosophically, he quotes one of his personal heroes. "I yam," he says in his best Popeye accent, "what I yam." *
K. George Godwin is a regular film reviewer for Border Crossings. This is his first excur sion into ceramic art.

